



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,564	08/18/2003	Bruce McCorkendale	SYMC1032	4932
34350 7590 09/04/2007 GUNNISON, MCKAY & HODGSON, L.L.P. 1900 GARDEN ROAD, SUITE 220 MONTEREY, CA 93940			EXAMINER KHOSHNOODI, NADIA	
			ART UNIT 2137	PAPER NUMBER
			MAIL DATE 09/04/2007	DELIVERY MODE PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/643,564	MCCORKENDALE ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nadia Khoshnoodi	2137	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Nadia Khoshnoodi. (3) _____.

(2) Lisa Norris. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 28 August 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: independent claims.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hockey et al., WO 02/19069 and Chesla et al. US Pub. No. 2004/0250124.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.


Minh D. Nguyen

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

 8/28/07
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Attorney of Record (Lisa Norris) explained that the Hockey et al. reference seems to be comparing inbound traffic with inbound traffic as opposed to outbound traffic with outbound traffic (as claimed). Examiner pointed out that Hockey et al. was interpreted as intercepting inbound traffic and creating a hash by the mail server where that inbound traffic is then forwarded to the particular recipient, i.e. which makes the stored digests information from outbound traffic. Then, Hockey et al. teach that other incoming messages are compared with the digests of the traffic which was outbound. Examiner suggests that outbound and inbound traffic be more clearly defined in the claims in order to overcome the prior art rejections maintained in the Final Rejection mailed 7/30/2007. With reference to the 35 USC 101 rejections, after consulting with the 101 Help Panel, Examiner suggested that the specification be amended to break up the computer program product comprising "a medium configured to store or transport..." into two separate aspects, one of which would include a computer program product comprising a medium configured to store which would include the different types of media already defined in the specification such as CD-ROMS, DVDs, etc. and a computer program product comprising a medium configured to transport which would include the network elements and the signals portion. Once these amendments are made to the Specification, the claims may also be amended to specifically claim only the "computer program product comprising a medium configured to store..." in order to clearly show that signals do not fall into the category being claimed. Examiner will consider the amendments/arguments when filed, although amendments changing the scope will require further search and/or consideration.